

A Comprehensive Study on Ethno-Symbolic Advancement to Nationalism

Jose Johnson

Department of International Affairs, Montclair State University, USA

ABSTRACT

The ethno-symbolic approach to nationalism is one of the main views that assists in understanding the formation, sustenance, and the intrinsic make up of a nation in the context of symbols, myths, values, and traditions in the formation and persistence of the modern nation-state. This method focuses on the role that symbols, myths, values, and traditions play in the formation and persistence of modern nation-states. Ethnosymbolism is a theory which argues that nations have a social and cultural core centred upon the myths, memories, symbols and traditions of pre-national ethnies. Ethnies are defined as "named human populations with shared ancestry, myths, histories, and cultures." This theory is an attempt to bridge the gap between the past and the present. This review article investigates the key ideas, topics, and theoretical foundations of ethnomythology, as well as its practical applications, with the primary focus being on the role that ethnic myths, historical memories, and symbols play in the formation of nations. In order to establish an understanding of the relationship between ethnosymbolism and nationalism, the author of this review essay has resorted to the most important scholarly works that have been published on the topic. In addition, the author of this essay focuses light on potential limitations associated with this perspective while simultaneously offering intriguing paths for future study initiatives. The essential part that symbols play in nationalism as a whole is being dissected, with a focus being placed on how the ethno-symbolic approach has substantially increased our understanding of the complicated nature of nationalism while simultaneously having an impact on the construction of national identity and the maintenance of that identity.

Keywords: ethno-symbolism, nationalism, ethnicity, myth.

INTRODUCTION

Anthony D. Smith is the creator of the concept of ethnosymbolism, which investigates the complicated relationship between nations and nationalism. This viewpoint places an emphasis on the significance of having an awareness of the symbolic aspects that are associated with nationalities and ethnic groups. The study of ethno symbolism acknowledges that national identities are not unchangeable but are, rather, the product of social construction. These identities derive their strength and longevity from the symbols, myths, memories, and traditions that are linked with them [1].

On the other hand, the term "nationalism" refers to an ideology as well as a political movement that has the goal of establishing and protecting a nation state for a particular ethnic group or nation. It is predicated on the idea that a nation is an inherent and organic entity that possesses the inherent right to exercise its right to self-determination and sovereignty.

The idea of nationalism has developed over the course of history, and its meaning is now dependent not just on context but also on era. In Europe, nationalism first appeared in the latter half of the 18th century, specifically at the time of the French Revolution. The hereditary monarchy and blind allegiance to a single king were both challenged during this time period. People made the shift from being subjects of the crown to citizens of a nation during this time period. Throughout the course of human history, nationalism has been linked to social movements that advocate for freedom and justice, as well as cultural renaissances and the promotion of pride in a nation's accomplishments. At its most fundamental level, nationalism can be seen as an ideology that places allegiance and devotion to a nation or state ahead of the interests of individuals or groups [2].

On the other hand, the impact of modern civilizations inevitably brought about the emergence of concerns concerning this concept of nationalism. These civilizations had an industrial economy that was capable of supporting themselves indefinitely. It was accompanied by a centralised supreme power that is capable of maintaining authority and unity, as well as a centralised language that the community of people understood. Additionally, nationalism is seen as a fruit of the modernization in political concepts that began with the Enlightenment. This perspective has been held for quite some time. In addition to this, it is connected to the idea that a nation is a natural and organic entity that possesses the right to exercise its own autonomy and sovereignty.

However, nationalism has experienced significant shifts over the course of history. In its early stages, it rejected heredity-based monarchy systems and placed an emphasis on faithfulness towards rulers; however, in more recent times, it has shifted its focus to placing an emphasis on an individual's allegiance towards their nation or state. As a result of this, a number of different groups have surfaced with the objectives of achieving liberty and justice, nurturing cultural revivals, and instilling a feeling of pride in the accomplishments of the nation. Furthermore, the rise of modern civilizations, the ideas that came forth during the Enlightenment period, and the concept that nations have the innate right to self-determination and sovereignty have all been significant factors in the development of nationalism [3].

The purpose of ethno-symbolism is to contextualise the concept of a nation by focusing on the specific symbolic qualities that bind a group of people together, with the end goal of forming a common set of interests and objectives for a nation.

When looking at the symbolic components of nationalism, ethno symbolism accepts that national identities are established through social processes and receive their power and endurance from the symbols, myths, recollections, and rituals that are linked with them. This is done in order to better understand how nationalism manifests itself symbolically. The study of ethno symbolism can provide a deeper understanding of the symbolic aspects of nationalism as well as how these aspects contribute to the formation and maintenance of national identities. When researching nationalism from a cultural viewpoint, such as through ethno symbolism, the importance of culture, history, and tradition in the process of creating national identities is brought into sharper focus. In contrast to other methods, which centre their attention on the political, economic, or psychological aspects of nationalism, this one examines the phenomenon itself. This cultural approach offers a fresh perspective through which to view and comprehend the intricate workings of a nation and the concept of nationhood.

The significance of the roles that symbols play should not be overlooked while attempting to gain an understanding of the creation of national identities. This function is brought to light by the study of ethno symbolism, which exposes the ways in which symbols including as flags, anthems, and monuments may simultaneously develop a sense of belonging among individuals and reinforce the concept of a shared national identity. Through the study of ethnic symbolism, we are able to comprehend the manner in which these symbols are utilised to produce a national identity and to trace their development over the course of time.

Ethno symbolism also provides a framework for analysing the continuity and change experienced by ethnic groups and nations, which is a further benefit of this study method. It recognises the fact that these entities are not fixed, but rather are dynamic and prone to change all the time [4-5].

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF ETHNOSYMBOLISM

In the field of the study of nationalism, there is a school of thought known as ethnosymbolism that emphasises the significance of symbols, myths, ideals, and traditions in the development of contemporary nation states as well as their continued existence.

In contrast to the view held by modernists, which is that nations are a totally recent phenomena, ethnosymbolism, as a kind of criticism of modernist ideas of nationalism, argues in favour of the antiquity and la longue durée of nations. It is generally agreed upon that John A. Armstrong, Anthony D. Smith, and John Hutchinson are three of the most significant theorists in the field of ethnosymbolism.

Daniele Conversi, who had been a student of Smith's at the London School of Economics, was the first person to use the term "ethno-symbolist approach" in a piece of writing. Conversi, on the other hand, took a little more critical stance, claiming that "if we focus exclusively on the power of the past and its symbols, we miss two other key features of nationalism: first, its relationship with political power, and particularly with the state; second, its crucial border-generating function."

Ethno symbolism is an important theoretical tool that may be used to appreciate the complexities of nationalism. This is accomplished by diving into the essence of ethnic groups and nations through an investigation of the symbolic qualities that each possesses. It recognises that national identities are the results of social creation, and that these identities derive their power and longevity from the connected symbols, such as myths, memories, and customs. The theoretical framework, in addition to stressing such features, incorporates three crucial elements, which are as follows [6]:

- 1) The importance of culture in the formation of national identities is largely due to the fact that culture is rooted in history and tradition.
- 2) Symbols, myths, memories, and traditions all play a significant role in the process of developing a person's sense of belonging to a certain nation.

- 3) Recognising ongoing change as an innate property of the system. National identities are not only static entities; rather, they are in a state of constant flux.

When studying nations and nationalism, it is important to pay attention to recognising symbolic characteristics in order to achieve a more in-depth comprehension of these concepts. Scholars are aware that national identities are not inborn but are rather produced within communities, drawing their power from connected symbols such as myths and rituals that have substantial cultural significance and have been passed down through the generations to modern cultures.

Within this theoretical framework, the concept that is referred to as the ethno-symbolism theory emphasises the need of appreciating the impact that culture has had on the process of nation-building. This impact may be traced back to historical events as well as long-standing conventions or practises that have been ingrained into public awareness over time. Therefore, it verifies the processes of collective identity creation at large, in which symbols (such as myths or traditions) become the most important contributors to the construction of a sense of nationhood on both the local and global scales. Analysing nationalism through the lens of ethno-symbolic culture reveals that culture has a tremendous amount of relevance in the formation and maintenance of national identities. According to this point of view, various aspects of culture, such as history, traditions, symbols, and myths, all play important roles in the process of constructing notions of national identity. In addition, it acknowledges that there is an interdependence between the influence of cultures on shaping forms of nationalist expression and the impact that nationalists have on cultural configurations themselves. Furthermore, it acknowledges that nationalist expressions are connected in ongoing transformative processes rather than being static constructs [7-8].

IMPORTANT THEORISTS AND THEORIES

John A. Armstrong

According to Anthony D. Smith, Armstrong's contribution to the field of ethnosymbolism is his discussion of myth-symbol complexes in the book *Nations before Nationalism*, which was first to highlight the significance of *la longue durée*. This book was published in 1982.[4] Armstrong is of the opinion that ethnic awareness has been there for a very long time, with evidence of its existence having been discovered in ancient civilizations such as Egypt, and that nationalism is only "the final stage of a larger cycle of ethnic consciousness reaching back to the earliest forms of collective organisation." As a result, the emergence of ethnic identity ought to be investigated using time dimensions that span many centuries, just like the *longue durée* approach taken by the *Annales School*. [9]

He underlined the boundaries for human identity by embracing Fredrik Barth's model of social interaction and argues that "groups tend to define themselves not by reference to their own characteristics but by exclusion, that is, by comparison to 'strangers'." He did this by emphasising the fact that "groups tend to define themselves not by reference to their own characteristics but by comparison to 'strangers'." To put it another way, the personality of a group is never completely determined, and the limits of identities shift in accordance with the different perspectives of the members of the group. Therefore, in comparison to the objective group features, the border mechanisms that distinguish one group from others need to be investigated more thoroughly [9].

Anthony D. Smith.

Anthony D. Smith, who was formerly a pupil of Ernest Gellner, a figure widely seen as being representative of modernism, has views on nationalism that are distinct from those of his former instructor. In addition to this, he is the final participant in what Gellner refers to as the "LSE debate" on nationalism.[10] His primary argument is that "the modern state cannot be comprehended without taking into account pre-existing ethnic components, the absence of which is likely to create a serious impediment to 'nation-building,'" which is a phrase from his dissertation. Smith has proposed that theorists expand their definitions of crucial nouns like "nation" and "nationalism" beyond the theoretical confines of modernism and primordialism. According to his point of view, the primary issue with modernism is that modernists describe nation as "modern nation" with characteristics of European nations of the 18th and 19th centuries. This makes modernists' definition Eurocentric and incomplete. Instead, he suggests a description of the nation that is more in line with the ideal type: "a named human population that shares a historic territory, common myths and historical memories, a mass, public culture, a common economy, and common legal rights and duties for all members."

In addition to this, he explains the significance of the term *ethnie*, which is a French word that literally translates to "ethnic group." It is used to refer to the pre-modern ethnic societies and consists of six primary characteristics [11]:

a collective proper name, a myth of common ancestry, shared historical experiences, one or more distinguishing features of common culture, an association with a specific "homeland," and a sense of solidarity for major portions of the people are all characteristics that might contribute to the formation of a collective identity.

Because these six characteristics imply that *ethnie* is "anything but primordial," the concept of *ethnie* must be established and constructed in order to account for its existence. It came into existence through two distinct processes: coalescence and division. The former brings together disparate pieces, while the latter performs the opposite function.

John Hutchinson

While John Hutchinson was working towards his doctoral degree in economics at the London School of Economics, Smith served as Hutchinson's advisor. The idea of cultural nationalism, which he presented in his book *Dynamics of Cultural Nationalism* (1987), is his most significant contribution to the field of ethnosymbolism. He distinguishes between political nationalism and cultural nationalism, arguing that the two "have sharply diverging political strategies" and are, thus, distinct concepts of the nation [12].

Political nationalists are, for the most part, cosmopolitan rationalists, and their definition of country "looks forward ultimately to a common humanity transcending cultural differences," as the phrase puts it. Political nationalists seek to "secure a representative state for their community so that it might participate as an equal in the developing cosmopolitan rationalist civilization," despite the fact that the world has been divided into multiple political communities has forced them to work within existing borders. After the 18th century, nationalism in the majority of West European governments met the criteria for political nationalism. This is the type of nationalism that modernists like Eric Hobsbawm and Benedict Anderson allude to in their writings about nationalism.

Criticisms Levelled Against the Ethno-Symbolic Method

Some people believe that the ethno symbolic approach has a tendency to put an inordinate amount of stress on culture in the process of the construction of national identities, to the exclusion of the significance of other elements such as politics, economics, and psychology. In addition, these individuals argue that this method does not fully reflect power relations and how much of an impact they have on the formation and maintenance of national identities. They argue that nationalism is not just a cultural phenomenon but also a political phenomenon that involves power conflicts and the distribution of resources. In addition, some critics argue that the ethno-symbolic approach is only applicable to a limited extent in non-Western contexts since it does not take into consideration the various expressions of nationalism that can be found all over the world. Critics argue that this approach does not take into sufficient consideration the agency that is exerted by individuals and groups in the process of building national identities. They contend that individuals and groups actively develop and question these identities, and they say this actively takes place. A dynamic process that is not taken into account by the ethno symbolic method. When it comes to the construction of national identities, the ethno-symbolic approach focuses an excessive amount of stress on cultural symbols, consequently overlooking other important variables such as politics, economics, and psychology. Some people also argue that the excessive emphasis placed on cultural symbols fails to take into account the multifaceted nature of national identities.

NATIONALISM

The ideology and movement known as nationalism maintains that the country and the state need to be consistent with one another. It is a movement that tends to support the interests of a particular nation (as in a group of people), particularly with the purpose of achieving and retaining the nation's sovereignty (self-governance) over its territory in order to construct a nation-state. This is especially the case when it comes to the goal of establishing a nation-state. It maintains that each nation ought to govern itself, free from intervention from other nations (self-determination), that a nation is a natural and ideal basis for a polity, and that the nation is the only source of political power that has any legitimate claim to it. In addition to this, it seeks to foster a sense of national unity or solidarity and to construct and uphold a singular national identity. This identity will be founded on a combination of shared social characteristics, including culture, ethnicity, geographic location, language, politics (or the government), religion, traditions, and the conviction that the nation has a single, unified history. Therefore, nationalism works towards the goal of preserving and cultivating the traditional culture of a nation. The term "nation" can have a number of diverse meanings, each of which might give rise to a distinct flavour of nationalism. Ethnic nationalism and civic nationalism are the two most prominently distinct forms of nationalism.

The concept that "the people" ought to rule was initially articulated by political thinkers in the latter part of the 18th century, namely in conjunction with the French Revolution and the propagation of the theory of popular sovereignty or self-determination. There are three primary schools of thought that have been advanced in an effort to explain the development of nationalism [13]:

Primordialism, also known as perennialism, was a philosophy that emerged concurrently with nationalism during the romantic era. This philosophy asserted that there have always been nations. Since then, the majority of academics have

come around to the idea that nations are socially constructed and historically contingent, and this perspective has since been discredited.

The modernization hypothesis, which is now the most widely recognised theory of nationalism, takes a constructivist approach and suggests that the emergence of nationalism was caused by processes of modernization, such as industry, urbanisation, and mass education, which made it possible for people to develop a sense of national consciousness. According to proponents of this idea, nations are "imagined communities," and nationalism is a "invented tradition." In this "invented tradition," shared sentiment serves as a kind of collective identity and links individuals together in the form of political solidarity.

Ethnosymbolism is the name of a third theory that attempts to explain nationalism as the product of symbols, myths, and traditions. This theory is related with the work of Anthony D. Smith.[10]

The ethical worth of nationalism, the nature of the connection between patriotism and nationalism, and the question of whether or not cosmopolitanism and nationalism are incompatible are all topics of discussion in the realm of philosophy. It is possible to combine nationalism with a wide variety of different political goals and ideologies, such as conservatism (national conservatism and right-wing populism) or socialism (left-wing nationalism). When put into practise, nationalism can be viewed as either positive or detrimental depending on the ideology behind it and the results it produces. Nationalism has been a component in movements for freedom and justice, has been linked to cultural revivals, and promotes pride in a country's accomplishments as a whole. It has also been used to legitimise racial, ethnic, and religious differences, as well as to oppress or attack minority groups, as well as to undermine democratic traditions and human rights.

Comparison of Ethno Symbolism with Nationalism

Among the many different theories that are available today, ethnosymbolism is one interpretation of nationalism to consider. In order to take into account the ways in which modernization contributes to the formation of national identity, modernism places an emphasis on the development of standardised languages, cultural conformity, and economic integration. On the other hand, ethno symbolists believe that historical, cultural, and traditional components are extremely important when it comes to the formation of national allegiance. The primordial view proposes that there is a connection between a nation's identity and its cultural and biological roots. Those who believe in the primordial approach consider national bonds to be profoundly ingrained in the persons who support it. In that they acknowledge the significance of culture and tradition in the process of creating national identities, ethno symbolists have some parallels with those who hold this position. Nevertheless, ethno symbolism recognises both the socially created nature of these identities as well as the possibility of their development throughout the course of time. The theory of constructivism maintains that national identities are the product of a social construction process that makes use of narratives, mythologies, and symbols. The understanding of the value of such symbols and tales is shared by both constructivism and ethno symbolism, two philosophical schools of thought. In addition to this, ethno symbolism highlights the significance that culture, history, and traditions have in defining the construction of national identities. Therefore, ethno-symbolism bears certain parallels with other theories of nationalism, such as primordialism and constructivism. However, ethno-symbolism may also be separated from these approaches due to its emphasis on the relevance of culture, history, and tradition in the construction of national identities [14].

The Importance of Symbols in the Concept of Nationalism

Because of the significant role that symbolism plays, the establishment of nations is highly dependent on it. Together, a nation's flag, anthem, monuments, and other symbols contribute to the formation of an environment in which its citizens experience an emotional as well as a visual sense of connection to their country. Symbols are useful tools for distinguishing one nation from another since they allow for easy comparison between them. They evoke feelings while also serving as a visual representation of the ideals that are connected with a particular nation, so contributing to the consolidation of the idea of a national identity shared by its citizens. Through the establishment of connections with history and culture, the use of symbols contributes to the consolidation of the idea that there is an overarching shared national identity among citizens. Symbols serve as a common point of reference for many subgroups that exist within a nation, which helps them function as unifying aspects. By doing so, persons who, on the surface, may appear to have few things in common with one another are brought closer together. To galvanise support for the nation and the objectives it seeks to achieve, symbols are utilised. They instill a sense of pride and patriotism in the populace, which encourages them to remain steadfast in their support of the government even in trying circumstances. Symbols play an essential role in the process of nation building because they foster a sense of belonging, strengthen national identity, bring together various groups, and mobilise support. The ethno-symbolic approach to nationalism acknowledges the significance of symbols in the process of creating national identities and maintaining such identities across time. Symbols have always had the capacity to exclude those who do not align with or share comparable national beliefs.

This potential has always been a part of symbols. Because of this sense of exclusivity, the sense of belonging among its members is strengthened, which in turn contributes to the consolidation of their national identity. When it comes to maintaining national boundaries and excluding those who do not belong within those borders, symbols are an important and necessary instrument. It is of the utmost importance that they have the capacity to foster a feeling of collective identity among their members, to demarcate national borders, to bar entry to outsiders, and to rally support. The ethno-symbolic approach to nationalism is one that appropriately acknowledges the relevance that symbols play in both the process of forming and maintaining national identities. This highlights the significance of examining symbols and the symbolic qualities that they possess when analysing nations and nationalism [15].

Future Applications of Ethno-Symbolism and Nationalism

It is possible that this method, which is both innovative and sophisticated in nature, can be used to and be of assistance in a variety of academic investigations. In the interest of achieving a more in-depth comprehension of nationalisms and ethnic groupings, potential future research might centre on comparative studies that investigate the parallels and dissimilarities between these two aspects. Investigating the intersections that exist between ethnosymbolism and nationalism, as well as other social and political phenomena such as gender, racial classification, and socioeconomic status, is another possible line of inquiry that may be pursued. Findings from studies on the effects of globalisation on different ethnic groups and nations will shed light on the ways in which symbols continue to form national identities in spite of shifting global dynamics. It may also be helpful in the exploration of pioneering ways to better capture the symbolic components of nationalism and to understand how symbols contribute to the creation and persistence of national identities in a variety of cultural situations. This understanding may be gained via the use of this research.

CONCLUSION

This concise survey of theories of nationalism, with a primary emphasis on Anthony Smith's method of "historical ethno-symbolism," is the result of Anthony Smith distilling the most important insights gained over the course of a career into a single work. Because he is completely correct in the primary thrust of his argument, one can only hope that the brevity with which he presents this idea will entice readers who are not familiar with his earlier writings.

The primary objective of Smith's work is to establish a consensus among three conflicting conceptions of nations and nationalism: modernism, neo-perennialism, and postmodern constructivism. The modernist point of view maintains that nations and nationalism are products of modernization, which occurred as a consequence of the synergistic effects of urbanisation, industry, and widespread secular education on a population-wide scale. Modernists say that it was only in the context of modernity that it became conceivable and essential for linguistic groups to emerge as political communities demanding autonomy and, ideally, sovereignty. Therefore, elites were able to construct nations that did not previously exist, and ultimately, this requirement was imposed by modernization. Neo-perennialists raise an objection to this argument by pointing out that at least some nations, such as the English and the French, may be identified as having existed as early as the fourteenth century, while some others, such as the Armenian and Jewish peoples, are even older. This is an attempt to demonstrate that this argument is fallacious. Both of these schools of thought, according to postmodern constructivists, give far too much credibility to the assertions made by nationalists. The nation, according to postmodern constructivists, is "ultimately a fiction engineered by elites using 'invented traditions' for purposes of social control."

REFERENCES

- [1]. Smith, Anthony D. (1998). *Nationalism and Modernism*. London and New York: Routledge. p. 170.
- [2]. Conversi, Daniele (1995). "Reassessing current theories of nationalism: Nationalism as boundary maintenance and creation". *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics*. 1: 73–85. doi:10.1080/13537119508428421.
- [3]. Smith, Anthony (2004). Guibernau, M.; Hutchinson, John (eds.). "History and National Destiny: Responses and Clarifications". *History and National Identity: Ethnosymbolism and its Critics*: 199.
- [4]. Özkırımlı, Umut (2000). *Theories of Nationalism*. p. 145.
- [5]. Armstrong, John A. (1982). *Nations before Nationalism*. University of North Carolina Press. p. 5.
- [6]. Armstrong, John A. (1982). *Nations before Nationalism*. University of North Carolina Press. pp. 6–7.
- [7]. Özkırımlı, Umut (2000). *Theories of Nationalism*. p. 147.
- [8]. Armstrong, John A. (1995). "Towards a Theory of Nationalism: Consensus and Disconsensus". In Periwal, S. (ed.). *Notions of Nationalism*. p. 36.
- [9]. Gellner, Ernest (1995). *Encounters with Nationalism*. Oxford:Blackwell. p. 61.
- [10]. Özkırımlı, Umut (2000). *Theories of Nationalism*. p. 148.
- [11]. Smith, Anthony D. (2005). Ichijo, A.; Uzelac, G. (eds.). "The Genealogy of Nations: An Ethno-Symbolic Approach". *When is the Nation?*: 95.
- [12]. Smith, Anthony D. (1991). *National Identity*. London: Penguin.

- [13]. Smith, Anthony D. (1998). Nationalism and Modernism: A Critique Survey of Recent Theories of Nations and Nationalism. London and New York: Routledge. p. 194.
- [14]. Özkırımlı, Umut; Sofos, Spyros (2008). Tormented by History: Nationalism in Greece and Turkey. New York: Oxford University Press. p. 7. ISBN 9780199326648.
- [15]. Smith, Anthony (2001). Nationalism: Theory, Ideology, History. Cambridge: Polity. pp. 83–5. ISBN 0745651283.