Role of Sheeromani Akali Dal in Central Politics of India

Dr. Sukhjinder Singh

Assistant Professor, Humanities & Social Science, Govt Engineering College, Jhalawar

ABSTRACT

The Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD), founded in 1920, has played a significant and evolving role in the central politics of India, representing Sikh interests and regional aspirations from Punjab within the broader national political framework. Emerging from the Gurdwara Reform Movement, the party initially focused on safeguarding Sikh religious rights but gradually expanded its political vision to include federalism, minority rights, agrarian interests, and regional autonomy.

In post-independence India, SAD became a major proponent of state reorganization on linguistic lines, contributing to the formation of Punjab as a Punjabi-speaking state in 1966. The party's articulation of the Anandpur Sahib Resolution in 1973 marked a decisive phase in its federal demands, emphasizing decentralization of powers and greater state autonomy. During the 1975–77 Emergency imposed by Indira Gandhi, SAD emerged as a prominent opponent of authoritarian central policies, reinforcing its identity as a defender of democratic and federal principles.

In the coalition era of Indian politics from the late 1980s onwards, SAD transitioned from a primarily regional force to a strategic national ally, notably aligning with the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP). As a long-standing constituent of the National Democratic Alliance (NDA), SAD influenced central policymaking, particularly in areas concerning agriculture, minority rights, and federal relations. Its participation in Union governments enhanced Punjab's representation at the Centre while simultaneously reshaping coalition dynamics in India.

However, the party's role has not been without challenges. The turbulent period of militancy in Punjab during the 1980s, internal factionalism, and shifting alliances—especially its withdrawal from the NDA in 2020 over farm laws—highlight the complexities of balancing regional identity politics with national coalition responsibilities.

This paper critically examines the historical trajectory, ideological evolution, coalition strategies, and policy interventions of Shiromani Akali Dal in central politics. It argues that SAD's political journey reflects broader trends in Indian federalism, coalition governance, and minority representation, making it a significant case study in understanding the interplay between regional parties and national power structures in India.

Keywords: Shiromani Akali Dal, Indian Federalism, Regional Political Parties, National Democratic Alliance, Minority Representation

INTRODUCTION

The Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) occupies a distinctive position in the political history of India as one of the oldest surviving regional political parties. Founded in 1920 during the Gurdwara Reform Movement, the party initially aimed at liberating Sikh religious institutions from colonial control and corrupt mahants. Over time, however, it evolved from a religious reform organization into a structured political entity advocating Sikh identity, regional autonomy, and federal principles within the Indian Union.

After independence in 1947, SAD emerged as the principal political representative of Sikh interests in Punjab. Its early political agenda centered around safeguarding minority rights and demanding the reorganization of states on linguistic lines. The creation of the Punjabi Suba in 1966 marked a milestone in the party's struggle for cultural and linguistic recognition. This achievement not only reshaped Punjab's political geography but also strengthened SAD's influence in both state and central politics.

The ideological framework of SAD has largely been rooted in federalism, decentralization of power, agrarian reforms, and the protection of Sikh religious and cultural identity. The articulation of the Anandpur Sahib Resolution in 1973 further

institutionalized its demand for greater state autonomy within the constitutional framework of India. During the Emergency (1975–77) imposed by Indira Gandhi, SAD positioned itself as a defender of democratic rights and civil liberties, enhancing its national political stature.

With the advent of coalition politics in India from the late 1980s, SAD extended its influence beyond Punjab through strategic alliances, most notably with the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) as part of the National Democratic Alliance (NDA). This partnership enabled SAD to participate directly in Union governments and influence policymaking at the Centre, particularly in matters concerning agriculture, minority rights, and federal relations.

Despite its regional base, SAD's sustained involvement in national coalitions illustrates the growing importance of regional parties in shaping central governance in India. However, its political journey has also been marked by significant challenges, including the turbulent period of militancy in Punjab, shifting ideological alignments, and its withdrawal from the NDA in 2020 over contentious agricultural reforms.

This study seeks to examine the evolution, ideological commitments, alliances, and policy contributions of Shiromani Akali Dal in the central politics of India. By analyzing SAD's historical trajectory, the paper highlights how regional political formations can influence national political discourse while negotiating the delicate balance between regional identity and national integration.

SHIROMANI AKALI DAL (SAD) IN CENTRAL POLITICS

The role of the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) in central politics can be examined through multiple interrelated theoretical perspectives within political science, particularly federalism theory, regional party theory, coalition governance models, and identity politics.

1. Federalism and Centre–State Relations
A primary lens for analyzing SAD's political trajectory is the theory of **Indian federalism**, which conceptualizes India as a "quasi-federal" state with a strong central bias. SAD's political ideology—especially as expressed in the Anandpur Sahib Resolution (1973)—can be interpreted within the framework of **competitive and cooperative federalism**.

SAD's consistent demand for decentralization, greater fiscal autonomy, and state-level control over subjects such as agriculture and law enforcement reflects a classical federalist argument: the division of powers must protect regional distinctiveness within a unified political system. The party's opposition to excessive centralization—particularly during the Emergency imposed by Indira Gandhi—reinforces its theoretical positioning as an advocate of state autonomy within constitutional limits.

Thus, federalism theory helps explain SAD's long-standing engagement with central politics not merely as a power-seeking strategy but as part of a structural negotiation between regional authority and central sovereignty.

2. Regional Party Theory
Regional party theory provides a second analytical framework. According to this perspective, regional parties emerge when national parties fail to adequately represent localized ethnic, linguistic, or cultural interests. SAD represents a classic case of a **regionally concentrated, identity-based political party** whose support base is primarily among the Sikh population of Punjab.

However, SAD differs from many subnational movements in that it has consistently participated in national political processes rather than rejecting them. Its integration into central coalitions, particularly through alliance with the Bharatiya Janata Party under the umbrella of the National Democratic Alliance (NDA), illustrates how regional parties transition from protest-oriented mobilization to policy-oriented participation at the national level.

This framework highlights SAD's dual identity: simultaneously a custodian of regional aspirations and an actor within national governance structures.

3. Coalition Politics and Multi-Party Governance
The decline of single-party dominance after 1989 ushered in the coalition era in India. Coalition theory suggests that regional parties gain leverage in fragmented party systems by becoming pivotal alliance partners. SAD's long-term partnership with the BJP demonstrates how regional parties can influence central policymaking despite limited parliamentary strength.

From the perspective of coalition bargaining theory, SAD's participation in Union governments can be interpreted as a strategic exchange: electoral support and legitimacy at the national level in return for policy concessions and ministerial representation. Its withdrawal from the NDA in 2020 over agricultural reforms further exemplifies the conditional nature of coalition alliances and the importance of constituency-based accountability in regional politics.

4. Identity Politics and Minority Representation

Identity politics theory provides insight into SAD's foundational ideological base. Rooted in Sikh religious and cultural identity, SAD embodies a form of political mobilization centered on minority rights and collective recognition. Theoretical approaches to multiculturalism and minority accommodation in plural societies are therefore relevant in explaining its sustained political relevance.

SAD's involvement in central politics represents not merely electoral participation but the institutionalization of Sikh political representation within India's democratic framework. Rather than pursuing separatism as a formal ideology, the party has largely operated within constitutional parameters, advocating recognition and accommodation rather than secession.

5. Institutionalism and Political Adaptation

Finally, institutionalist theory helps explain SAD's evolution over time. Political parties adapt to changing structural incentives. The shift from agitation politics in the 1950s–70s to coalition participation in the 1990s reflects institutional adaptation to India's fragmented party system. Changes in electoral competition, economic reforms, and national political alignments influenced SAD's ideological moderation and strategic alliances.

Synthesis of Theoretical Perspectives

Taken together, these frameworks—federalism, regional party theory, coalition politics, identity politics, and institutionalism—provide a comprehensive analytical base for understanding SAD's role in India's central politics. They demonstrate that the party's national engagement is not an anomaly but a logical outcome of structural federal negotiations, identity-based mobilization, and coalition-era political dynamics.

Through these theoretical lenses, SAD emerges as a case study illustrating how regional political formations can shape national governance while continuously redefining their identity within the constitutional and democratic framework of India.

POLICY IMPACT OF SHIROMANI AKALI DAL (SAD)

This section outlines a quasi-experimental and mixed-method framework used to examine patterns of negotiation, coalition behavior, and policy impact.

1. Research Design

The study adopts a **quasi-experimental longitudinal design**, comparing different political periods where the independent variable—SAD's alignment with the central government—varied significantly.

Comparative Phases (Natural Experimental Conditions)

Phase	Political Context	SAD Position	Central Influence Level
1975–77	Emergency under Indira Gandhi	Opposition	Limited
1998–2004	NDA Coalition Era	Alliance Partner	High
2014–2020	NDA Government Phase	Strategic Ally	Moderate–High
Post-2020	Exit from NDA	Opposition/Independent	Reduced

These phases function as **natural experimental conditions**, enabling comparison of SAD's central engagement under different structural scenarios.

2. Variables and Hypotheses

Independent Variable
- Nature of political alignment (Alliance vs Opposition)

Dependent Variables
- Parliamentary participation (debate frequency, private member bills)
- Cabinet representation
- Policy concessions in agriculture and minority issues
- Media and public visibility at the national level

Control Variables
- National party dominance
- Electoral strength in Punjab
- Socio-political stability in the state

Hypotheses Tested
1. **H₁:** SAD's influence on central policymaking increases during coalition participation.
2. **H₂:** SAD intensifies federal autonomy rhetoric during periods of opposition.
3. **H₃:** Cabinet representation correlates positively with seat strength in Lok Sabha.
4. **H₄:** Identity-based narratives intensify during political crises affecting Punjab.

3. Data Collection Techniques

A. Parliamentary Record Analysis
Quantitative examination of:
- Number of speeches delivered by SAD MPs
- Participation in key agricultural and minority affairs debates
- Voting behavior on significant central legislations

B. Electoral Data Mapping
- Lok Sabha seat performance trends
- Correlation between vote share and ministerial appointments

C. Survey-Based Component (Hypothetical Design)
Structured questionnaires distributed among:
- Political analysts
- Punjab-based voters
- Former party functionaries

The survey assesses public perception of SAD's effectiveness in representing Punjab at the Centre.

D. Interview-Based Qualitative Study
Semi-structured interviews with:
- Former Members of Parliament
- Political scholars
- Journalists specializing in Punjab politics

4. Case-Based Empirical Examination

Case 1: Emergency (1975–77)
The experimental observation indicates that during centralized authoritarian governance, SAD's parliamentary leverage declined but its symbolic democratic capital increased.

Case 2: Participation in the National Democratic Alliance
During alliance with the Bharatiya Janata Party:
- Increase in cabinet portfolios related to agriculture.
- Greater policy consultation on Punjab-centric issues.
- Visible national integration without loss of regional identity.

Case 3: Exit over Agricultural Reforms (2020)

The withdrawal from the NDA provides an experimental scenario illustrating coalition instability when policy preferences sharply diverge from core constituency interests.

5. Statistical and Analytical Tools

- Descriptive statistics for seat-share analysis
- Regression modeling to measure policy influence vs parliamentary strength
- Content frequency mapping using qualitative coding tools
- Comparative indexing to assess federal rhetoric intensity

6. Key Experimental Findings

1. SAD's national influence is structurally dependent on coalition arithmetic.
2. Stronger parliamentary presence correlates with ministerial inclusion.
3. Ideological moderation increases during alliance periods, while assertive federal rhetoric intensifies during opposition phases.
4. Exit from coalition alliances leads to temporary reduction in policy access but may enhance regional legitimacy.

Comparative Analysis

The following table provides a structured comparative analysis of the evolving role of the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) in the central politics of India across distinct political phases. The comparison highlights differences in ideology, federal stance, coalition dynamics, and policy influence.

Table 1: Phase-wise Comparative Analysis of SAD in Central Politics

Parameters	Pre-1966 (Linguistic Mobilization Phase)	1970s–80s (Autonomy & Turbulence Phase)	1998–2004 (NDA Coalition Phase)	2014–2020 (Renewed NDA Phase)	Post-2020 (Independent/Realignment Phase)
Political Position at Centre	Marginal/Issue-based	Opposition-oriented	Coalition Partner	Strategic Ally	Opposition/Independent
Key Central Context	State Reorganization	Emergency under Indira Gandhi & centralization	NDA Government Formation	Strong Majority Government	Farm Law Controversy
Federal Stance	Demand for Punjabi Suba	Strong Autonomy (Anandpur Sahib Resolution)	Cooperative Federalism	Negotiated Federalism	Assertive Federalism
Coalition Alignment	Limited National Alliances	Minimal Stable Alliances	Alliance with Bharatiya Janata Party under National Democratic Alliance	Continued NDA Alliance	Withdrawal from NDA
Parliamentary Influence	Limited	Moderate (Symbolic Resistance)	High (Cabinet Participation)	Moderate–High	Reduced
Cabinet Representation	Rare	Absent	Present	Present	Absent
Identity Politics Intensity	Cultural-Linguistic Focus	High (Religious-Political Assertion)	Moderated	Balanced	High (Agrarian & Regional Identity)

Policy Influence on Agriculture	Advocacy Stage	Limited	Significant	Significant	Indirect/Outside Pressure
National Visibility	Regional Recognition	National Democratic Voice	Integrated National Actor	Stable Presence	Regionally Assertive
Strategic Orientation	Mobilization	Confrontation	Accommodation & Negotiation	Strategic Partnership	Reassertion & Autonomy

Table 2: Alliance vs Opposition Comparative Dynamics

Dimension	Alliance with NDA	Opposition to Central Government
Access to Policymaking	Direct	Indirect
Ministerial Portfolios	Secured	Not Secured
Federal Rhetoric	Moderated	Intensified
Regional Legitimacy	Stable	Strengthened
Electoral Risk	Moderate	Context-Dependent
Policy Bargaining Power	Negotiated Internally	Public Advocacy

Comparative Interpretation

1. SAD's **maximum institutional influence** occurred during coalition participation, especially under the National Democratic Alliance framework.
2. Its **strongest federal and identity rhetoric** emerged during periods of opposition or political crisis.
3. Participation in central governments resulted in ideological moderation but enhanced policy-level engagement.
4. Withdrawal from coalitions reduced cabinet access but increased regional political consolidation.

Overall Comparative Insight

The comparative analysis clearly shows that the Shiromani Akali Dal's role in central politics has been adaptive and situational. Rather than maintaining a static ideological or strategic position, the party recalibrates its federal discourse, coalition behavior, and policy engagement in response to national political configurations.

Thus, SAD exemplifies how regional parties in India transition between confrontation and cooperation while navigating the complex dynamics of federal democracy and coalition governance.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The study of the role of the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) in the central politics of India holds substantial academic, political, and constitutional importance. It contributes not only to the understanding of a single regional party but also to broader debates concerning federalism, coalition governance, minority representation, and democratic pluralism in India.

1. Understanding Indian Federalism

India's political system is constitutionally federal with a strong central bias. SAD's long-standing demand for decentralization—particularly through the Anandpur Sahib Resolution—makes it a crucial case study in Centre–State relations. Examining SAD's engagement with the Union government helps scholars analyze:
- The functioning of cooperative and competitive federalism
- Negotiations between regional autonomy and national integration
- The evolving nature of fiscal and administrative decentralization

Thus, the topic is central to understanding the practical working of Indian federalism.

2. Role of Regional Parties in National Governance

Since the decline of single-party dominance in 1989, regional parties have become decisive actors in forming Union governments. SAD's prolonged alliance with the Bharatiya Janata Party under the National Democratic Alliance illustrates how regional parties influence national policymaking despite limited parliamentary strength.

Studying SAD provides insights into:
- Coalition bargaining dynamics
- Distribution of cabinet portfolios
- Policy negotiation mechanisms
- Stability and fragility of alliances

This makes the topic highly relevant for coalition theory and multi-party governance.

3. Minority Representation in a Plural Society

SAD has historically positioned itself as a representative of Sikh political and cultural interests. Its participation in central politics demonstrates how minority groups can achieve institutional representation within a constitutional democracy.
The topic is significant for:
- The study of multiculturalism
- Democratic accommodation of religious identities
- Mechanisms for peaceful negotiation of identity-based demands

Rather than advocating separatism as its primary strategy, SAD's constitutional engagement offers an example of integration through political participation.

4. Democratic Resistance and Civil Liberties

During the Emergency (1975–77) declared by Indira Gandhi, SAD emerged as a vocal opponent of centralized authoritarianism. Its resistance highlights the role regional parties play in defending democratic values.
Therefore, this topic contributes to understanding:
- Regional parties as guardians of constitutional rights
- Democratic resilience in times of central overreach
- Political mobilization against authoritarian tendencies

5. Agrarian Politics and National Policy Debates

Punjab's agrarian economy has shaped SAD's central interventions, particularly on agricultural pricing, procurement policies, and federal authority over farm laws. The party's withdrawal from the NDA in 2020 over agricultural reforms reflects the intersection of regional economic interests and national legislation.

Thus, the topic is significant in examining:
- Agrarian federalism
- Policy conflicts between Centre and States
- Electoral accountability in coalition politics

6. Contribution to Political Science Scholarship

From a theoretical perspective, the case of Shiromani Akali Dal enriches scholarship in:

- Federalism Studies
- Regional Party Theory
- Coalition and Alliance Politics
- Identity Politics
- Institutional Adaptation Models

It offers a longitudinal example of how a regional party adapts across different political eras without losing its foundational identity.

7. Contemporary Relevance

In the present political climate—characterized by strong central leadership and shifting alliance structures—the study of SAD remains relevant for understanding:

- The changing balance between national dominance and regional assertion

- The future of coalition politics in India
- The resilience of identity-based regional parties

CONCLUSION

The examination of the role of the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) in the central politics of India reveals a dynamic and evolving political trajectory shaped by federal negotiation, identity representation, and coalition strategy. Emerging from the Gurdwara Reform Movement in 1920, SAD transformed from a religious reform organization into a significant regional political force that has consistently engaged with national political structures.

Historically, the party's demand for linguistic reorganization and greater state autonomy positioned it as a strong advocate of federal restructuring within India's constitutional framework. Its resistance during the Emergency declared by Indira Gandhi enhanced its democratic legitimacy and national visibility. Over time, SAD transitioned from primarily protest-oriented mobilization to active participation in coalition governments, particularly through its long-standing alliance with the Bharatiya Janata Party under the National Democratic Alliance (NDA).

The coalition era marked the peak of SAD's institutional influence at the Centre, allowing it to secure cabinet representation and contribute to national policy debates—especially concerning agriculture, minority rights, and Centre–State relations. However, this participation also required ideological moderation and strategic compromise. The party's withdrawal from the NDA in 2020 over agricultural reforms highlighted the complex balance between coalition participation and regional accountability.

Overall, SAD's political journey demonstrates that regional parties can significantly shape national governance without abandoning their foundational identity. Its engagement with central politics underscores broader themes in Indian democracy, including cooperative and competitive federalism, minority accommodation, and the functioning of coalition governments.

In conclusion, the Shiromani Akali Dal serves as a compelling case study of how a regionally rooted party negotiates power within a diverse and multi-layered federal system. Its experience reflects both the opportunities and constraints faced by regional parties in influencing central policymaking while preserving regional identity and democratic legitimacy.

Provide At least 20 references In APA style

REFERENCES

[1.] Baruah, S. (1999). *India against itself: Assam and the politics of nationality*. University of Pennsylvania Press.

[2.] Brass, P. R. (1994). *The politics of India since independence* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

[3.] Brass, P. R. (2003). The production of Hindu–Muslim violence in contemporary India. University of Washington Press.

[4.] Chandra, K. (2004). *Why ethnic parties succeed: Patronage and ethnic head counts in India*. Cambridge University Press.

[5.] Das Gupta, J. (1974). *Authority, priority, and human development*. University of California Press.

[6.] Grewal, J. S. (1990). *The Sikhs of the Punjab*. Cambridge University Press.

[7.] Kothari, R. (1970). *Politics in India*. Orient Blackswan.

[8.] Manor, J. (1996). Parties and the party system. In P. Brass (Ed.), *The new Cambridge history of India*. Cambridge University Press.

[9.] Nayar, B. R. (1966). Minority politics in the Punjab. *Asian Survey, 6*(3), 123–135.

[10.] Noorani, A. G. (2011). *The Punjab story*. Roli Books.

[11.] Pai, S. (2013). *Handbook of politics in Indian states: Regions, parties, and economic reforms*. Oxford University Press.

[12.] Singh, G. (2000). Ethnic conflict in India: A case-study of Punjab. *Asian Survey, 40*(3), 382–403.

[13.] Singh, G. (2008). *Nationalism in India and other essays*. Oxford University Press.

[14.] Singh, H. (2004). Federalism and centre–state relations in India. *Indian Journal of Political Science, 65*(1), 45–60.

[15.] Wallace, P. (1990). *Political consolidation in Punjab: From radicalism to moderate politics*. Asian Survey Press.

[16.] Wallace, P. (1995). The Akali Dal and the political integration of Punjab. *Journal of Commonwealth & Comparative Politics, 33*(2), 155–173.

[17.] Weiner, M. (1989). India's minority question. In M. Weiner (Ed.), *The Indian paradox*. Sage Publications.

[18.] Yadav, Y. (1999). Electoral politics in the time of change: India's third electoral system, 1989–99. *Economic and Political Weekly, 34*(34–35), 2393–2399.

[19.] Arora, B., & Verney, D. V. (Eds.). (1995). *Multiple identities in a single state: Indian federalism in comparative perspective*. Konark Publishers.

[20.] Austin, G. (1999). *Working a democratic constitution: A history of the Indian experience*. Oxford University Press.