

To Study the Organizational Climate Prevailing in the Industrial Sector

Shiva Pandey¹, Dr. Arun Kumar Jadon²

¹Research Scholar, Monad University, Hapur

²Professor, Monad University, Hapur

ABSTRACT

Participation in this research paves the way for people to realise their full potential and contribute to the Organization's goals. "Everyone can take action to enhance his or her work, either in personal or organisational terms," it declares. Innovation, flexibility, dedication, zero faults, and continual improvement are the only environments where Brown-blessed empowerment can flourish, as opposed to the conventional bureaucracy's focus on control, uniformity, and compliance. The automation business may use this information to develop and execute more tactics that will help them reach their objectives and provide even better suggestions for development. Motivating workers via empowerment rather than financial incentives is the primary focus of the research. In order to make the most of their most precious asset—their people—the sector might benefit substantially from the research. Because of its strategic importance, this resource is crucial to the organization's future. The study's overarching goal is to shed light on human beings and provide solutions that would enable them to thrive in both local and global settings.

Keyword: *Flourish, Workers, Empowerment, Innovation, Flexibility*

INTRODUCTION

Involvement in this study opens doors for individuals to reach their maximum potential, which in turn helps the Organization achieve its objectives. "Everyone can take action to enhance his or her work, either in personal or organisational terms," according to it. Conventional bureaucracy prioritizes control, conformity, and compliance; in contrast, Brown-blessed empowerment thrives in contexts that encourage innovation, flexibility, devotion, zero mistakes, and continuous development. The automation company may use this data to come up with even better development ideas and to create and implement additional techniques to achieve their goals. The major emphasis of the study is to motivate workers via empowering them instead of relying on financial incentives. The industry stands to gain a lot from the study if it can maximize its most valuable asset—its people. This resource is vital to the future of the organization due to its strategic value. The ultimate purpose of the research is to illuminate human nature and provide strategies for people to prosper on a global and local scale.

If the research was able to recruit people from all throughout the company, ideas would start coming in from everywhere. It streamlines and simplifies the process of delegating work to employees. Giving employees more say in their job and the decisions that affect them usually boosts their self-esteem. In a world where one's sense of self is closely linked to their degree of power, it's beneficial to have a moderate amount of power. Employees have a more favorable impression of their job when they are not under constant scrutiny and criticism. Goals, job satisfaction, training, performance evaluations, decision-making authority, conflicts, inferiors' and superiors' perceptions of one another, and adaptability are some of the many facets of empowerment covered in the literature. The expectation that one's efforts would be rewarded is another focus of this study. Workers are more invested in the company's success when they are given more agency and responsibility, which is the basic premise of employee empowerment.

Problem Statement

A staff that is both productive and content is essential for any business that wants to succeed. This is highly dependent on the kind of the workplace that a company offers its workers. When workers have a favorable impression of their workplace, they are more likely to be satisfied with their jobs.

When workers are given a pleasant place to work, they will be more inclined to contribute to the organization's objectives. Companies that take the time to learn about their workers' perspectives on the workplace, their unmet needs, and the things that inspire them to put in long hours are more likely to succeed.

Therefore, the purpose of this research is to examine the factors influencing organizational environment, employee motivation, and job satisfaction among Northern Indian workers in both the public and private sectors.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Kangis & Williams (2000) investigated the connection between company culture and financial results. The survey included 117 UK-based electrical component firms and 100 UK-based knitwear and hosiery enterprises. The UK Industrial Performance Analysis 1997/98 by ICC Business Publication Ltd. served as the source for the sales and financial performance statistics as well as the sample frame. We used Pearson correlation, standard deviation, and mean to analyze the data. Organizational atmosphere was shown to have a favorable correlation with corporate performance. Some have argued that enhancing the organization's atmosphere should be the first step toward better performance overall.

Reddy et al. (2000) investigated the connection between company culture and employees' allegiance to both management and the union. For this reason, we looked at eight textile organizations in Coimbatore, four of which were public and four of which were private. The organizational atmosphere, as well as union and organizational dedication, were assessed via the interview of 200 participants. Using chi-square and correlation approaches, the data was evaluated. Compared to their private sector counterparts, public sector employees rate their organizational environment as more democratic, according to the data. Organizational commitment was not substantially connected with the participatory management factor of organizational climate. Organizational atmosphere and union commitment were not shown to be correlated. In public sector enterprises, the union atmosphere was inversely connected to the recognition and appreciation aspect of the organizational climate. It makes no difference whether a company is public or private sector; dual commitment does not exist in either.

Mak & Sockel (2001) investigated the connection between employee motivation and retention in the information system. Burnout, loyalty, and turnover intent indicated latent retention, whereas job satisfaction and opinion of management on career advancement were used to quantify latent motivation. The 118 information system personnel surveyed came from a wide range of businesses and worked their way up the corporate ladder. In order to analyze the data, structural equation modeling was used. The findings show that burnout, loyalty, and turnover intent are indications of retention, but work satisfaction and management's perspective of career advancement are markers of motivation. A favorable correlation between employee motivation and retention was observed.

Objectives of the Study

- To examine the organizational climate prevailing in the industrial sector.
- To find out the level of employee motivation prevailing in the industrial sector.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Study designs may be either cross-sectional or longitudinal. A cross-sectional research simply takes a single answer from each respondent, but a longitudinal study records the same respondents' replies over time to ensure consistency. The research was cross-sectional, meaning that data was obtained from participants only once. You might also say that a research design is descriptive or exploratory. Selection of people from a population in a manner that accurately reflects the population's characteristics is the primary goal of sampling. Both probability and non-probability sampling techniques are in use today. In probability sampling, each individual has an equal opportunity to be chosen. The problem with non-probability sampling is that the people that fill out the surveys may not be representative of the whole. People who work in the industrial sector in Northern India were the focus of the research. Several districts with a wide range of demographics were included in the sample, which included a diversified range of organizations. Because of the high concentration of organizations in one location and the respondents' desire to participate, convenience sampling was used. The National Capital Region (NCR), Haryana, and Punjab were the three areas studied.

For this reason, we went to a number of different companies and asked every single employee to fill out a survey. All replies will be treated with the utmost confidentiality, as promised to the participants. We were able to use 600 completed surveys for our data analysis. Below is a breakdown of the public and private sectors by region. A variety of Punjab, Haryana, and NCR-based organizations provided the data. Personal visits and web connections were used to disseminate the surveys to the groups. The human resource executives of the relevant companies gave their consent for their workers to take part in the surveys, so we could legally administer them. Due to the impracticality of personally visiting each employee to collect survey responses, several companies instead sent out online links to the surveys in an accompanying letter outlining their goals. The participants were informed that their answers would remain private and that their participation was entirely

optional. In order to maintain the respondents' privacy, it was optional to include their name. It was decided to send follow-up emails to the organizations. The information was gathered within a span of four months.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table 6.1 Means And Standard Deviations Of Organizational Climate Items (N=600)

S. No.	Statement	Mean	Standard Deviation
1.	My organization helps in developing improved work processes.	3.92	0.805
2.	My department is adequately informed by management about what is going on in the organization.	3.92	0.877
3.	I get all the support I need from my team members to perform my role effectively.	4.10	0.794
4.	My work in team is recognized well.	4.05	0.797
5.	The people in our team work well together.	4.11	0.779
6.	All team members like new ways of doing things.	3.82	0.880
7.	My team leader effectively manages my team.	3.98	0.904
8.	All the teams at our organization work well together.	3.87	0.863
9.	Management utilizes my skills effectively.	3.84	0.936
10.	Management takes employees' suggestions seriously.	3.58	1.034
11.	I am proud to tell others that I am part of this organization.	4.22	0.785
12.	I am loyal to my organization.	4.40	0.719
13.	I will recommend this organization to others.	3.98	0.960
14.	I would like lifetime employment with this organization.	3.75	1.114
15.	My organization provides new challenging opportunities.	3.87	0.923
16.	My organization has a strong grievance handling procedure.	3.66	0.963
17.	The management of this organization is always sympathetic in dealing with employees' problems.	3.64	0.955
18.	The management is always ready to solve complaints of the employees.	3.64	0.959
19.	Disciplinary procedure is well outlined and communicated to all.	3.84	0.917
20.	My organization pays much attention to the interests of employees.	3.58	0.996
21.	My job does not cause unreasonable amount of stress in my life.	3.55	0.996
22.	I am able to satisfy both my job and family responsibilities.	3.90	0.922
23.	My organization is fair in its actions towards employees.	3.72	0.928
24.	The pace of work enables me to do a good job.	3.91	0.871
	Overall organizational Climate	3.87	0.575

Source: primary data

Table 6.1 displays the degree to which workers agree with different assertions about the corporate environment. The standard deviation is between 0.575 and 1.114, while the mean agreement score is between 3.55 and 4.40. With an overall mean agreement score of 3.87, workers seem to have a generally good impression of the company's atmosphere. Organizational loyalty (mean=4.40) and pride in one's position within the company (mean=4.22) were the two areas where employees indicated the most agreement. It demonstrates that workers want to remain with the company. Team members' support, team members' appreciation of effort, and team members' cooperation all have quite high agreement levels. Employees' preferences for cooperation activities are shown by this. Additionally, there is a growing amount of agreement

regarding the following: the effectiveness of the team leader, the organization's assistance in creating better work processes, the degree to which management informs the department about organizational events, the optimal work pace, and the ability of employees to balance their job and family responsibilities. Workers feel that their jobs are not stressful (mean=3.55), that their employers care about them (mean=3.58), and that their ideas are seriously considered (mean=3.58). The issues of employee welfare and employee involvement seem to need further attention.

Table 6.2 Means And Standard Deviations Of Employee Motivation Items (N=600)

S. No.	Statement	Mean	Standard Deviation
1.	All employees have an equal chance of promotion in this organization.	3.59	1.046
2.	Promotions are given in a fair and honest way.	3.59	1.031
3.	My job provides me a high-quality personal life.	3.66	0.961
4.	Promotion criteria are well defined.	3.70	0.992
5.	My workplace is noise-free.	3.90	1.012
6.	My organization provides me a safe working environment.	3.92	0.917
7.	Organization has provided me resources to perform my job effectively.	3.94	0.891
8.	I have a well-organized work environment.	3.90	0.896
9.	Sitting arrangements in my office offers comfortable position to work.	3.97	0.920
10.	I have the opportunity of mixing with my colleagues and to communicate on aspects of our work.	4.04	0.788
11.	I am encouraged to make inputs with regard to my job.	3.77	0.834
12.	I have the freedom to decide the method for doing my work.	3.68	0.942
13.	I am given freedom to make my own decisions.	3.55	0.970
14.	I have sufficient authority to discharge my job related responsibilities.	3.62	0.963
15.	The performance target is decided by the employee himself.	3.30	1.109
16.	Management honors employees' view on organizational effectiveness.	3.54	0.954
17.	I get appreciation from my supervisor for a job done well.	3.81	0.868
18.	I get personal support from my supervisor in times of need.	3.80	0.891
19.	My supervisor understands my problems and tries to solve them.	3.81	0.944
20.	I am able to approach my supervisor easily.	4.03	0.802
21.	My supervisor is trustworthy.	4.02	0.864
22.	The supervisor likes to receive my ideas and suggestions.	3.95	0.861
23.	The leadership which I get from superiors encourages me to put up good performance.	3.94	0.866
	Overall Employee Motivation	3.78	0.618

Source: primary data

Regarding the topic of employee motivation, Table 6.2 displays the degree of agreement among respondents. On the topic of employee motivation, workers seem to be in agreement, with a mean score of 3.78 (S.D. = 0.618). There is a standard deviation of 0.618 to 1.046 and an average agreement score of 3.30 to 4.04. Supervisors who are trustworthy (mean=4.02), simple to approach (mean=4.03), and communicative with coworkers (mean=4.04) have a pretty high degree of agreement. Employees' satisfaction with their immediate supervisor and coworkers is evident. There is a high degree of agreement with the following: a workplace free of noise, a safe atmosphere, enough resources to do the task, a well-organized workplace, comfortable seating arrangements, supervisor support for ideas and proposals, and leadership that encourages participation. Workers often have a good impression of their immediate workplace. Workers also feel that their supervisors understand and empathize with their problems, provide personal support when needed, have clearly defined promotion criteria, encourage employees to make contributions related to their work, give them freedom to decide how to do their jobs, ensure a high-quality personal life, and give them enough authority to complete their job tasks. Fair promotion system, equal promotion opportunities for all, freedom to make decisions, honoring employee views on organizational effectiveness, and performance targets set by employees all have relatively low agreement scores (mean=3.50, 3.54, 3.55, 3.59, and 3.59, respectively). Here is where we can make some changes for the better.

CONCLUSION

Organizations are confronted with a higher degree of competitiveness in today's uncertain economic climate. Organisational success may be guaranteed by establishing a connection between organisational characteristics and organisational performance. The organizational climate, which encompasses all of these factors, is mostly concerned with the working conditions of the company.

When workers are happy in their jobs, it shows that the company has strong leadership and few turnovers, both of which are beneficial to the bottom line. Job happiness is influenced by motivating qualities. When you do a good job, there are a lot of little things that make you happy. Organizational environment, employee motivation, and work satisfaction as they pertain to Northern India's industrial sector are the foci of this research. When we talk about an organization's "climate," we're referring to the features that set it apart from others, influence employee behavior, and tend to stay there for a while. The term makes it quite apparent that a positive work environment is crucial for people to do their best. It is crucial to have supportive organizational policies and a positive attitude from management in order to establish a pleasant atmosphere in the firm. Research on organizational environment is crucial for predicting a company's performance.

A favorable emotional state that arises from reflecting on one's work experiences is known as job satisfaction. A well-appointed office and pleasant working conditions do not determine an employee's performance as much as the inherent aspects of his job description that determine his attitude towards work. Various aspects of a job, including the work itself, one's boss, coworkers, etc., contribute to overall job satisfaction. When you're happy with one part of your job, it doesn't indicate you'll be happy with the rest. An employee's outlook on the job and his expectations from it are both factors in his level of job satisfaction. How much one's wants are met determines how content one is with their job. Motive is a byproduct of having one's unmet needs met, hence this proves the connection between contentment and motivation. Both internal and external influences have an impact on what motivates employees. When extrinsic variables like pay, benefits, and working conditions are lacking, employees are dissatisfied, but when they are there, they motivate. Work, recognition, accomplishment, and other intrinsic elements are the root causes of motivation. A person's level of motivation may be described as the sum of the factors that push them to choose one action over another. Research on what drives people is crucial for making sense of employee actions and the reasons behind them. The key to motivating people to reach corporate objectives is for management to have insight into their unmet needs and what drives them.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Adenike, A. (2011). Organizational climate as a predictor of employee job satisfaction: Evidence from covenant university. *Business Intelligence Journal*, 4(1), 151-165.
- [2]. Agarwal, M. & Bose, S. (2004). Organizational climate for perceptions of procedural 'fairness' in human resource practices and role efficacy. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 40(2), 176-196.
- [3]. Ahmed, Z., Ahmed, Z., Ahmed, I. & Nawaz, M. M. (2010). Organizational climate (OC) as employees' satisfier: Empirical evidence from pharmaceutical sector. *International Journal of Business & Management*, 5(10), 214-222.
- [4]. Allen, T.D. (2001). Family-supportive work environments: The role of organizational perceptions, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 58 (3), 414-435.

- [6]. Ali, A. & Patnaik, B. (2014). Influence of organizational climate and organizational culture on managerial effectiveness: An inquisitive study. *The Carrington Rand Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(2), 1-20.
- [7]. Amato, A.D. & Zijlstra, F.R.H. (2008). Psychological climate and individual factors as antecedents of work outcomes. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 17(1), 33-54.
- [8]. Amberguy, W.D. (2005). *An analysis of the relationship between job satisfaction, organizational culture, and perceived leadership characteristics*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Department of Educational Research, Technology, and Leadership, University of Central Florida Orlando, Florida.
- [9]. Aryee, S. (1992). Public and private sector professionals: A comparative study of their perceived work experience. *Group and Organization Management* 17 (1), 72-85.
- [10]. Ashkanasy, N. M., Wilderom, C. P. M., & Peterson, M. F. (eds.) (2000). *Handbook of organizational culture and climate*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- [11]. Babushe, M.T. & Narendranath, K. (2013). HRD climate and job satisfaction in the public sector of Ethiopia: An empirical study in Amhara & Benishangul-Gumuz Regions, *IOSR Journal of business and Management*, 13(6), 121-131.
- [12]. Baer, M. & Frese, M. (2003). Innovation is not enough: Climates for initiative and psychological safety, process innovations and firm performance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 24(1), 45-68.
- [13]. Baldwin, Norman J. (1987). Public versus private: Not that different, not that consequential. *Public Personnel Management*, 16 (2), 181 – 93.
- [14]. Bhattacharya, S. & Neogi, D.G. (2006). Goal setting tendencies, work motivation and organizational climate as perceived by the employees. *Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology*, 32(1), 61-65.
- [15]. Biswas, S. (2010). Relationship between psychological climate and turnover intentions and its impact on organizational effectiveness: A study in Indian organizations. *IIMB Management Review*, 22 (3), 102-110.
- [16]. Buble, M., Juras, A. & Matic, I. (2014). The relationship between managers' leadership styles and motivation, *Management*, 19(1), 161-193.