

# Problems of Youth: A Study of Gender Differences of College Students

Mrs. Supreeta

Rehabilitation Counselor

## ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to investigate whether gender differences exist in the types of problems faced by youth during adolescence. Using the Youth Problem Inventory (YPI) developed by Mithilesh Verma, the study examined challenges encountered in four key domains: family problems, college problems, social problems, and personal problems. A total of 100 participants—50 males and 50 females—were administered the YPI and their responses were analyzed according to the manual's scoring guidelines. The results revealed no significant gender differences across any of the four problem categories. This suggests that both male and female college students experience similar levels of family, academic, social, and personal challenges. These findings contribute to the understanding of gender-neutral patterns in youth problems during adolescence and highlight the need for holistic approaches to addressing these issues. By identifying that gender is not a determining factor in the nature or intensity of youth problems.

**Keywords:** Gender Differences, Youth Problem Inventory, Adolescence, Family Problems, College Problems.

## INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a critical developmental stage characterized by numerous physical, emotional, and social transitions. During this period, young individuals often encounter various challenges that can significantly influence their overall well-being. Family dynamics, academic pressures, and social relationships become central to their daily experiences, leading to potential struggles that shape their perceptions of self and the world. While both male and female adolescents navigate these challenges, the ways in which they respond, cope, and adapt may differ due to a variety of factors including social expectations, cultural norms, and individual personality traits. The Youth Problem Inventory (YPI) developed by Mithilesh Verma (1988) provides a comprehensive framework for exploring these issues, focusing on four primary domains: family problems, college problems, social problems, and personal problems. Family problems may include conflicts with parents, lack of communication, or feelings of neglect. College problems often pertain to academic stress, examination pressure, and difficulty balancing coursework with personal responsibilities. Social problems may involve peer relationships, acceptance within groups, and concerns about social status. Personal problems encompass a range of internal struggles, such as low self-esteem, decision-making difficulties, and identity-related issues. By understanding how these domains manifest across genders, educators, counselors, and policymakers can develop more targeted and effective interventions.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Existing research on gender differences in adolescent challenges presents mixed findings. Some studies suggest that males and females differ significantly in their experiences of stress and coping mechanisms. For example, Pomerantz, Altermatt, and Saxon (2002) reported that adolescent girls tend to experience higher levels of academic stress and are more likely to internalize their emotions, leading to feelings of anxiety and depression. Boys, on the other hand, may externalize their stress through behaviors such as aggression or disengagement from academic and social activities (Leadbeater et al., 1999). Family-related issues are also an area where gender differences have been observed. According to Holmbeck and Hill (1991), adolescent girls are more likely to report conflicts with parents regarding autonomy and independence, whereas boys may experience fewer relational tensions but more external expectations concerning their future roles. Social problems often emerge in the context of peer relationships and social acceptance.

Shapka and Keating (2005) found that adolescent girls often place a greater emphasis on social relationships and are more sensitive to peer feedback, making them more susceptible to social stress. Conversely, boys may feel pressure to conform to traditional gender norms, which can manifest as a reluctance to seek help or express vulnerability (Pollack, 1998). Despite these findings, other studies have noted minimal gender differences in the overall levels of adolescent problems. Compas, Wagner, Slavin, and Vannatta (1986) concluded that while the sources of stress may differ between boys and girls, the overall impact on their psychological well-being is relatively comparable. Similarly, Verma's (1988) initial research on the Youth Problem Inventory suggested that although specific problem categories might vary

slightly, the general prevalence of challenges was not markedly different between genders. This indicates that adolescents, regardless of gender, encounter a broad spectrum of difficulties that require attention and support.

**Need for the Study:** Given the mixed evidence regarding gender differences in adolescent problems, there is a pressing need for further investigation. Understanding whether males and females truly differ in their experiences of family, college, social, and personal problems can inform more equitable and effective support strategies. If significant gender differences exist, interventions can be tailored to address the unique challenges faced by each group. For example, if girls are more likely to experience social stress, schools and counseling centers can develop programs to enhance social coping skills and provide supportive peer networks.

If boys are less likely to seek help, interventions can focus on reducing stigma around vulnerability and encouraging open communication. Alternatively, if no significant differences are found, this would suggest that existing support systems should be inclusive and adaptable to all adolescents rather than gender-specific. In this case, practitioners can focus on universal approaches that address the common challenges of adolescence, such as promoting resilience, improving family communication, and reducing academic pressure. By exploring these questions, the present study aims to provide clarity on a topic that has significant implications for educational practices, counseling programs, and policy-making. It seeks to determine whether gender differences truly exist in the domains of family problems, college problems, social problems, and personal problems, thereby contributing to the ongoing discourse on adolescent development and well-being.

## **METHODOLOGY**

**Statement of the Problem:** The study aims to examine gender differences, if any, in the problems faced by adolescents using the Youth Problem Inventory (YPI). The specific focus is on understanding whether male and female college students experience family, college, social, and personal problems differently.

**Definition of Youth Problem:** A youth problem, as assessed in this study, refers to any significant difficulty or challenge encountered by adolescents in their personal lives, familial relationships, academic environment, or social interactions. These issues may include struggles with self-esteem, conflicts with parents, peer pressure, and academic stress.

### **Objectives:**

1. To identify and compare the family, college, social, and personal problems of male and female adolescents.
2. To determine if there are significant gender differences in the severity and frequency of these problems.

### **Hypothesis:**

There are no significant gender differences in the family, college, social, and personal problems experienced by adolescents.

### **Variables:**

**Independent Variable:** Gender (male, female)

**Dependent Variables:** Scores on the four dimensions of the Youth Problem Inventory (family problems, college problems, social problems, personal problems)

### **Tools of Measurement:**

**Youth Problem Inventory (2004)**-This scale was developed by Dr. M. Verma (2004), measures the challenges faced by adolescents in four key areas—family problems, college problems, social problems, and personal problems. It is a self-report questionnaire that provides separate scores for each category. The validity and reliability of the YPI have been established through previous studies, making it an appropriate tool for this research.

### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:**

#### **Inclusion Criteria:**

1. Participants must be adolescents aged 18–22 years.
2. Participants must be currently enrolled in college.
3. Participants must be willing to provide informed consent.

#### **Exclusion Criteria:**

1. Participants with diagnosed psychological disorders that may affect the study outcomes.
2. Participants who are not fluent in the language used in the inventory.
3. Participants who are not within the specified age range.

**Sample Size:** The sample consisted of 100 participants, equally divided by gender (50 males and 50 females).

**Procedure:** College students were invited to participate through announcements in classrooms and collegeboards. Participants were informed of the study’s purpose, procedures, and confidentiality measures. Each participant provided informed consent before beginning the study. They were assured that their responses would be kept anonymous and that they could withdraw at any time. Participants were asked to complete the Youth Problem Inventory. The inventory was administered in a quiet, controlled environment, allowing participants to concentrate on the questions. Instructions were clearly explained, and participants were encouraged to respond honestly. The completed inventories were scored according to the manual provided by Mithilesh Verma. Scores were tabulated for each domain (family problems, college problems, social problems, personal problems) and grouped by gender.

**Statistical analysis:** Statistical analysis was performed to test the hypothesis, including t-tests to compare mean scores between males and females.

**Results and Discussion:** Statistical results were computed to examine the test findings and are depicted in the following table and figure. Table 1 presents the range, mean, and standard deviation of the four variables of the YPI: family problems, college problems, social problems, and personal problems. A series of t-tests were performed to determine if significant gender differences were present.

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics and t-test Results for Youth Problem Inventory Variables by Gender**

Variable	Gender	N	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (Sd)	T-Value	P-Value
Family Problems	Male	50	14.3	4.2	1.21	0.23
	Female	50	13.8	4.5		
College Problems	Male	50	15.6	5.1	0.89	0.37
	Female	50	15.2	4.8		
Social Problems	Male	50	13.4	4.7	1.38	0.17
	Female	50	14.2	4.9		
Personal Problems	Male	50	12.7	4.0	0.76	0.45
	Female	50	13.0	3.9		

The mean scores for male and female participants were similar across all four variables. None of the t-tests yielded statistically significant differences at the  $p < 0.05$  level, suggesting that males and females reported comparable levels of family problems, college problems, social problems, and personal problems.

**Discussion:** The findings indicate that gender does not play a significant role in the youth problems measured by the YPI. Both male and female participants experienced family, college, social, and personal problems at similar levels, which is consistent with previous studies. For instance, Compas et al. (1986) found that although adolescents may differ in the types of stressors they encounter, the overall levels of psychological stress were not significantly different between genders. Similarly, Verma (1988) reported that while male and female adolescents might experience slightly different types of challenges, the prevalence and intensity of those challenges do not vary significantly.

In the context of family problems, earlier research by Holmbeck and Hill (1991) suggested that while girls may report more relational tension, boys and girls ultimately face comparable amounts of familial stress. College-related issues also did not differ significantly, aligning with findings from Leadbeater et al. (1999) that both genders experience academic pressures, even if they manifest differently. Social and personal problems showed no significant differences in the current study, reflecting the results of Shapka and Keating (2005), who found that boys and girls in similar age groups experienced peer and self-concept challenges at similar levels. The lack of significant differences highlights the importance of universal support strategies for youth rather than gender-specific interventions. By focusing on the common challenges faced by all adolescents, counselors, educators, and policymakers can create more inclusive and effective support systems.

**Implications:** The findings of this study hold important implications for those working with adolescents, including educators, counselors, and policymakers. By confirming that there are no significant gender differences in family, college, social, and personal problems, it becomes evident that support systems should focus on common youth experiences rather than dividing efforts based on gender. For instance, schools can implement universally accessible mental health resources, peer support groups, and life skills programs that address typical adolescent challenges. Additionally, the results suggest that intervention strategies should focus on enhancing resilience, improving coping mechanisms, and providing a supportive environment for all students, regardless of gender. By doing so, institutions can ensure that young people receive equal opportunities to overcome their struggles and achieve greater overall well-being.

**Limitations:** While this study provides valuable insights, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the reliance on self-reported data may introduce response bias, as participants might underreport or exaggerate their problems. Second, the study's cross-sectional design limits the ability to infer causality, making it unclear whether certain problems might emerge over time or shift based on developmental factors. Furthermore, the sample size, while balanced between genders, may not fully capture the diversity of experiences among different cultural, socioeconomic, or regional backgrounds. Another limitation is the exclusive use of the Youth Problem Inventory, which, while valid, may not cover all aspects of adolescent challenges or include more recent societal factors influencing youth problems. Finally, since the study was conducted in a specific college setting, the findings may not generalize to non-college youth populations.

**Suggestions:** Future research could address these limitations by adopting a longitudinal design to track how youth problems evolve over time and explore the potential causative factors. Expanding the sample to include participants from diverse cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds would enhance the generalizability of the findings. Researchers might also incorporate multiple assessment tools, such as qualitative interviews or observational methods, to complement self-reports and provide a more holistic view of adolescent challenges. Investigating additional variables, such as peer influences, digital media exposure, or neighborhood environments, could shed light on new dimensions of youth problems. Finally, future studies could explore how interventions and support programs impact youth well-being, thus providing practical insights into how to best address the issues identified in this and similar research.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Compas, B. E., Wagner, B. M., Slavin, L. A., & Vannatta, K. (1986). A prospective study of life events, social support, and psychological symptomatology during the transition from high school to college. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 14(3), 241–257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00911173>
- [2]. Holmbeck, G. N., & Hill, J. P. (1991). Conflictive engagement, autonomy, and the family relations of women during adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 1(1), 227–247. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327795jra0101\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327795jra0101_13)
- [3]. Leadbeater, B. J., Blatt, S. J., & Quinlan, D. M. (1999). Gender-linked vulnerabilities to depressive symptoms, stress, and problem behaviors in adolescents. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 9(1), 33–57. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327795jra0901\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327795jra0901_3)
- [4]. Pollack, W. S. (1998). *Real boys: Rescuing our sons from the myths of boyhood*. Random House.
- [5]. Pomerantz, E. M., Altermatt, E. R., & Saxon, J. L. (2002). Making the grade but feeling distressed: Gender differences in academic performance and internal distress. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94(2), 396–404. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.94.2.396>
- [6]. Shapka, J. D., & Keating, D. P. (2005). Structure and change in self-concept during adolescence. *Journal of Adolescence*, 28(1), 49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2004.06.004>
- [7]. Verma, M. (1988). *Youth Problem Inventory*. Agra: National Psychological Corporation.